

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report Federal Fiscal Year 2022



www.baltimorecountymd.gov/departments/housing
Public Comment Requested: December 13-28, 2023

Submit comments to: Terry Hickey, DHCD Director
105 W Chesapeake Ave, Ste. 201
Towson, MD 21204
Or via email to: dhcd@baltimorecountymd.gov

CAPER PUBLIC DRAFT – For Review 12/13-28/2023

1

DRAFT

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

Baltimore County's Consolidated Plan for Fiscal Years 2020-2024 proposed how the County would use federal resources from the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program, the HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME) Program, and the Emergency Solutions Grant Program (ESG) as well as leverage local, state and other federal resources to meet Baltimore County's priorities of: Affordable Housing, Housing for Homeless/Those At-Risk of Homelessness, Housing Opportunities for Non-Homeless Special Needs, Community Infrastructure Improvements, and Community Sustainability. The Consolidated Plan was born out of a collaborative process that included participation from low- to moderate-income persons and communities, Limited English Proficient individuals, community groups, nonprofit organizations, individuals, government agencies and business associations. It assessed needs, identified goals and objectives along with desired outcomes. Each year, the County updates its intended steps in meeting those goals by publishing its Annual Action Plan. The projects identified in the Action Plan form the basis of the County's funding allocation for the year and detail how the County will meet established priorities. At the close of each year, the County produces a Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report (CAPER) to track its progress in performing the activities in the Action Plan and its progress in meeting the goals of the Consolidated Plan. Although delayed by the COVID-19 pandemic as well as the County's transition to a new financial system, this CAPER report reports on progress made during Federal Fiscal Year 2022/County Fiscal Year 2023.

Within Baltimore County's priority need areas, the County's Annual Action Plan identified 15 goals and annual performance measures for its entitlement-funded community development and housing programs. These goals are summarized in an attachment to this section of the document. In support of the priority need areas and goals above, the County drew \$3,919,616 million in CDBG entitlement funding during the program year. Of the funds spent, 59% was Housing related, 11% Public Facilities and Improvements, 13% Public Services and 16% Administrative costs. The County also used \$446,190.63 in CDBG-CV funding for direct covid-related subsistence payments to landlords and nonprofit staffing costs to oversee and distribute additional COVID-related eviction prevention funds.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Affordable/Accessible Housing - Renters/Homeowners	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	250	36	15%	150	0	0.00%
Affordable/Accessible Housing - Renters/Homeowners	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	320	89	27.81%	54	17	31.48%
Assist persons at-risk of homelessness	Homeless	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	26		0	0	
Assist persons at-risk of homelessness	Homeless	CDBG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	575	72	12.52%	82	14	17.07%
Code Enforcement to Maintain Livable Communities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care	Household Housing Unit	50	0	0.00%	10	0	0.00%
Establish/stabilize/expand small/micro businesses	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	0	0				
Housing Accessibility Modifications for Disabled	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	35	14	40%	5	3	60.00%

Housing Accessibility Modifications for Disabled	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	65	25	38.46%	30	9	30.00%
Housing for Persons in ALUs and Group Settings	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	0		10	0	0.00%
Housing for Persons in ALUs and Group Settings	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	30	11	36.67%	6	3	50.00%
Increase Access to Public Services - Homeless	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	3050	1199	39.31%	660	607	91.97%
Increase Access to Public Services - Homeless	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	725	0	0.00%	145	0	0.00%
Increase Access to Public Services - Homeless	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	0	0		0	0	
Increase Access to Public Services - Non-homeless	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	4845	2903	59.92%	953	1560	163.69%
Increase Homeowner Affordability & Sustainability	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	250	37	14.80%	50	13	26.00%

Promote Fair Housing Outreach, Education, Testing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$10000 / County General Funds: \$	Other	Other	20	62	310.00%	4	40	1,000%
Removal of Blighted Buildings	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Buildings Demolished	Buildings	15	0	0.00%	3	0	0.00%
Shelter and Transitional Housing for Special Needs	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	0	0				
Shelter and Transitional Housing for Special Needs	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	0	0				
Support a range of homeless housing options	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	38	0	0.00%			
Support a range of homeless housing options	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	75		0	0	
Support a range of homeless housing options	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	0	37		46	37	80.43%

Support a range of homeless housing options	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	270	118	43.70%	54	118	218.52%
Support a range of homeless housing options	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	300	518	172.67%	60	518	863.33%
Support a range of homeless housing options	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	0	0		0	0	
Support Community Infrastructure Outdoors	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	4100	120	2.93%			
Support Community Infrastructure through Buildings	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	4190	4735	113.01%	8575	3586	41.82%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

Beginning Data Notes - a few important notes on the tables above:

1) Baltimore County has consistently experienced difficulties with the way HUD’s system pulls numbers into the charts above. Baltimore County manipulated some data points to populate the charts in a manner that more truly reflects its projects. This also holds true for the roll up data from the specific annual performance indicators in relation to the five-year plan goals. As was the challenge with our prior Consolidated Plan CAPERs, not all data pulls properly into the

goal charts. As a result, Baltimore County must sometimes manually calculate and enter Con Plan accomplishment data. Considerable staff time was dedicated to making these numbers reflect a realistic representation of spending and accomplishments during the CAPER period.

2) In addition, the County continues to report on its efforts related to the Voluntary Compliance Agreement, however much of that work does not fit easily into the numerical reporting format of the econ planning suite software. At HUD's direction, the VCA reporting is now completed through a separate report submitted twice a year, but on a timeline set by HUD that is no longer tied to the Action Plan and CAPER reporting timeframes. Also of note, the program year goals above show HOME funding the year's Fair Housing efforts. This is incorrect and should reflect \$100,000 in County and \$50,000 CDBG funding, no HOME funds are associated with this activity and the project set up in the Action Plan does not reference any HOME funds for Fair Housing activities. These same activities supported 20 lending tests across four zones of the County. The four (4) in the expected column reflected the four areas of the County. A better indicator is the number of tests performed. The County is now choosing to report on the number of tests. As a result the percentage increase is not a good indicator of success. The County is on par with the number of tests expected to accomplish in the reporting period.

3) The County initially considered using its CDBG funds for Code Enforcement during the Consolidated Plan period. However, other funds were used for these efforts and the County will not be showing Code Enforcement work as part of this CAPER.

4) Homeless Person Overnigh Shelter also includes ESG-funded street outreach. This outreach number is large and skews the "at a glance" view of overnight shelter usage funded with federal funds. The bulk of the County's overnight shelters are assisted with County funds and are not included in the above totals of those assisted.

Progress Made in Carrying Out the Plan - Continued

Below is a brief summary of progress made over the past year using federal CDBG, HOME and ESG funding along with other available funds:- Affordable rental housing for low-income households, veterans and persons experiencing homelessness, the County used federal and local funds to create and preserve rental housing for low-income neighborhoods.- Affordable housing that is located in areas not experiencing racial and ethnic concentrations or areas of high poverty - The County continued its work with developers to encourage affordable housing development in "Opportunity Areas" through the County. - Affordable housing for homeowners and assistance with needed home repairs for low to moderate-income citizens - 36 homeowner households received some type of rehabilitation. 10 households received homeownership counseling resulting in direct homeownership.- Programs providing supportive services and rapid rehousing for those experiencing homelessness and eviction prevention for those at-risk of homelessness - Through a combination of federal and county funds, Baltimore County provided 996 County citizens with supportive services and 90 with ESG-funded rapid rehousing, and 14 households received CDBG eviction prevention assistance funded with the County's entitlement award.- Community infrastructure improvements and assistance sustain viable neighborhoods and communities 6,310 people benefitted from improvements to facilities assisting persons living with a disability, homeless facility, and neighborhood health

facility. - Public Service activities assisting the homeless, seniors, youth, victims of intimate partner violence or sexual assault, employment training, abused and neglected children, subsistence payments, food banks, and other public services which are CDBG-eligible activities - Baltimore County served 4,635 County residents through CDBG-funded public service activities – 687 in homeless programs, 216 in senior services, 240 in youth services, 172 victims of intimate partner violence/sexual assault, 16 in employment training, 363 abused and neglected children, 79 subsistence payments, 1,193 through food banks, and 1,669 in other CDBG-eligible public service activities.- Overall numbers continue to be impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic as programs opened/closed/reduced capacity in response to the pandemic and decreased in-person services. Additionally, the County utilized CDBG and ESG funds specifically dedicated to prevent, prepare for, and respond to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. As reflected in the PR-23, the County disbursed over \$557,660. in CDBG-CV-funded subsistence payments to 797 households to assist those households remain stably housed. ESG-CV funds were used for rapid rehousing and street outreach in the reporting year. - The County did not choose to remove any blighted buildings or use CDBG funding for code enforcement activities.

Assessment Continued:

Again, this year, the COVID-19 pandemic impacted the County's ability to meet Action Plan goals. Many of the original goals fell short while other areas swelled and served more citizens than expected. In areas related to immigrant assistance, Baltimore County exceeded its original performance expectations by 21.69% in demand for services. For another B53850 year non-native populations appear to have gravitated to services during the pandemic. These services fell under the Goal Increase Access to Public Services for Non-Homeless which served an increased 22.16% of what was projected to be served. Support Community Infrastructure through Buildings fell short of its 6 capital project that funded with FFY2022 (CFY2023) CDBG due to continued delays due to COVID impact and others were impacted by a changeover in the County's financial system; Baltimore Medical System was able to complete the renovation of the facility to establish a new medical/pharmacy facility in Rosedale that will serve 5, 086 clients. -Baltimore County was also able to complete 5 projects which were funded with prior years CFY2021 (FFY2020) and CFY2022 (FFY2021) CDBG program funding; The Arc Baltimore used FFY0020 (CFY2021) CDBG to complete accessibility improvement to two group homes in Pikesville and Woodlawn for 6 individuals with disabilities living there. The Arc Baltimore also used FFY2021 (CFY2022) CDBG funding to complete accessibility improvements to a group home in Timonium for 3 individuals with disabilities who reside there. Associated Catholic Charities completed a FFY2021 (CFY2022) CDBG capital improvement at their Villa Maria Building to relocate their day program serving 123 individuals with disabilities who receive services there. Episcopal Housing Corporation completed a FFY2021 (CFY2022) CDBG capital project which complete renovation of its food pantry area and a client counseling room which has served 1,133 clients through this project. Key Point Health Services completed a FFY2020 (CFY2021) CDBG project that involved renovation to re-purpose a large commercial kitchen space into offices which has served 82 clients. - In the goal area of Increase Access to Public Services for the Homeless, in FFY2022 saw a 23.44% increase in the numbers served from the original projections. While the County saw an increase of 23.44% for the numbers being served in Public Service activities for the Homeless of a non-housing benefit, its CDBG entitlement funded eviction prevention usage only achieved 94.71% of its original projections as priority focus remained on using COVID relief funds through HUD and the US Treasury as the priority vehicle for fighting evictions. The County assisted 797 households with CDBG-CV maintain housing stability and avoid eviction. In the area of Affordable Housing, the County's numbers were also impacted by COVID and delays associated with permitting, rising costs, and the shift to a more virtual process. Single

Unit Residential Rehab projects were 121 while the SELP loans fell short (34) of original projections due to the pandemic and increased costs. During FFY 22, the County's Fair Housing Services vendor, Economic Action Maryland, conducted 20 Fair Housing Rental tests and 8 Fair Housing Lending tests were conducted

DRAFT

during this program period.

Priority Need Area	Goal Number and Name - Continued
Housing Opportunities for Non-Homeless Special Needs Population	rehabilitated: 6 Household Housing Unit, Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated: 18 Household Housing Unit. Actual assisted: 3 Rental Housing Units, 5 Homeowner Housing Units
Community Infrastructure Improvements	9. Support Community Infrastructure through Buildings – rehabilitation/construction of community or recreation centers, senior centers in CDBG-eligible areas. Provided countywide. Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 8575 Persons Projected. 3586
Community Infrastructure Improvements	10. Support Community Infrastructure Outdoors – rehabilitation/construction of public facilities including outdoor recreations centers, parks, street improvements, sidewalks, flood drainage in CDBG-eligible areas. Provided countywide. No immediate goals were selected in the FFY 2020/CFY 2021 Action Plan and zero funds were targeted to this goal in the Action Plan.
Community Sustainability	11. Increase Access to Public Services – Non-Homeless – improve suitable living environment for low and moderate-income citizens in need of services like child care/youth or afterschool programs, senior services, employment training, literacy, health/mental health, substance abuse, domestic violence, child abuse/neglect, family support, food assistance and other eligible CDBG services. Provided countywide. Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 953 Persons Projected. 1560 Assisted.
Community Sustainability	12. Increase Access to Public Services – Homeless – CDBG-funded supportive services for those experiencing homelessness or at-risk of homelessness. Activities could include childcare, healthcare, domestic violence supports, or other non-housing needs for those experiencing homelessness or at-risk of homelessness. This goal also includes ESG street outreach as “Homeless Overnight Shelter” as per HUD guidance. Provided countywide. Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 660 Persons Projected. 607 Assisted.
Community Sustainability	13. Establish/Stabilized/Expand Small/Micro Businesses – supportive services and programs that provide small and micro businesses with loans to establish, stabilize and expand. Provided in Opportunity Areas, Sustainable Communities, Community Conservation Areas. No immediate goals were selected in the FFY 2020/CFY 2021 Action Plan and zero funds were targeted to this goal in the Action Plan. Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 0 Persons Assisted, Businesses assisted: 0 Businesses Assisted
Community Sustainability	14. Removal of Blighted Buildings – clearance or demolition of buildings/improvements. Provided countywide. Buildings Demolished: 3 Buildings. Actual 0
Community Sustainability	15. Code Enforcement to Maintain Livable Communities – salaries and overhead costs associated with property inspections and enforcement (but not correction). Provided countywide. Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care: 10 Household Housing Unit. Actual 0

Priority Need Areas - Page 1

Priority Need Area	Goal Number and Name - Continued
Housing Opportunities for Non-Homeless Special Needs Population	rehabilitated: 6 Household Housing Unit, Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated: 18 Household Housing Unit. Actual assisted: 3 Rental Housing Units, 5 Homeowner Housing Units
Community Infrastructure Improvements	9. Support Community Infrastructure through Buildings – rehabilitation/construction of community or recreation centers, senior centers in CDBG-eligible areas. Provided countywide. Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 8575 Persons Projected. 3586
Community Infrastructure Improvements	10. Support Community Infrastructure Outdoors – rehabilitation/construction of public facilities including outdoor recreations centers, parks, street improvements, sidewalks, flood drainage in CDBG-eligible areas. Provided countywide. No immediate goals were selected in the FFY 2020/CFY 2021 Action Plan and zero funds were targeted to this goal in the Action Plan.
Community Sustainability	11. Increase Access to Public Services – Non-Homeless – improve suitable living environment for low and moderate-income citizens in need of services like child care/youth or afterschool programs, senior services, employment training, literacy, health/mental health, substance abuse, domestic violence, child abuse/neglect, family support, food assistance and other eligible CDBG services. Provided countywide. Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 953 Persons Projected. 1560 Assisted.
Community Sustainability	12. Increase Access to Public Services – Homeless – CDBG-funded supportive services for those experiencing homelessness or at-risk of homelessness. Activities could include childcare, healthcare, domestic violence supports, or other non-housing needs for those experiencing homelessness or at-risk of homelessness. This goal also includes ESG street outreach as “Homeless Overnight Shelter” as per HUD guidance. Provided countywide. Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 660 Persons Projected. 607 Assisted.
Community Sustainability	13. Establish/Stabilized/Expand Small/Micro Businesses – supportive services and programs that provide small and micro businesses with loans to establish, stabilize and expand. Provided in Opportunity Areas, Sustainable Communities, Community Conservation Areas. No immediate goals were selected in the FFY 2020/CFY 2021 Action Plan and zero funds were targeted to this goal in the Action Plan. Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 0 Persons Assisted, Businesses assisted: 0 Businesses Assisted
Community Sustainability	14. Removal of Blighted Buildings – clearance or demolition of buildings/improvements. Provided countywide. Buildings Demolished: 3 Buildings. Actual 0
Community Sustainability	15. Code Enforcement to Maintain Livable Communities – salaries and overhead costs associated with property inspections and enforcement (but not correction). Provided countywide. Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care: 10 Household Housing Unit. Actual 0

DRAFT

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	ESG
White	2856	0	257
Black or African American	4,163	2	418
Asian	55	0	2
American Indian or American Native	3	0	1
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	16	0	1
Total	9217	3	717
Hispanic	1,427	0	21
Not Hispanic	7,790	3	674

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

Baltimore County collects race and ethnicity data on all families and persons assisted in whole or in part with federal funds. The CDBG entitlement-funded numbers, originally populating the chart above totaled 7682, with 429 of those Hispanic. HOME numbers showed as 96 total served, with 4 Hispanic. Individually run reports for HOME and CDBG provide alternative numbers. The numbers shown in the chart above are taken from IDIS report for the 2022 Program Year's PR 23 Summary of Accomplishments CDBG/HOME Beneficiaries by Racial/Ethnic Category shows a total of 9,217 CDBG beneficiaries served and three (3) HOME beneficiaries. This CAPER chart total does not add because not every racial category is prepopulated by HUD into this chart. The CDBG chart numbers above include both persons and households into the combined total. This report shows 2803 white persons and 53 households, 3,876 Black/African American persons and 287 households, 52 Asian persons and three (3) household, 33 American Indian/Alaskan Native and three (3) households, 16 Native Hawaiian, 47 American Indian/Alaskan Native & White persons (though we are investigating to see if this is a typo because it also says 44 of the 47 are Hispanic), zero Asian/White individuals or households, 83 Black/African American & White persons and 39 household, five (5) American Indian/Alaskan Native and Black/African American individuals and seven (7) households, and 1,852 Other multi-racial persons and 51 Other multi-racial households. Hispanic persons were reported as 1,413 persons and 14 households. The County believes this number is inflated. 1057 of that total is in Other multi-racial and are wondering if like last year this is a reporting glitch. The County is investigating if there is a project supporting that breakdown. The County believes this to be a glitch. HOME reported numbers show two first-time homebuyers assisted and one existing homeowner. None of those assisted were Hispanic. Two households were Black/African American and one is listed as Other multi-racial.

As an additional note regarding the ESG numbers above, CAPERs submitted after October 1, 2017 are no

longer utilizing the ESG CAPER reporting tool. Instead jurisdictions have been directed to utilize the HMIS reporting feature for the breakdown of those served with ESG funds. As a result no numbers are pulled from the project specific information loaded into this CAPER through IDIS activities. Instead, the data above has been pulled from HMIS and entered by hand. ESG data shows a total of 755 served during Program Year 2022. HMIS race categories are more expansive than those displayed in the chart above. Differences between itemized racial breakdowns above and the total can be determined as part of the racial categories not populating in the chart above, but showing in HMIS. The same can be expected for the Hispanic/Not-Hispanic counts above. HMIS reports the following additional race categories for ESG populations served in Program Year 2022 - Multiple Races 15, Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused 13, and Data Not Collected 10. For ethnicity, HMIS reports the following additional categories - Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused 7, Data Not Collected 15. Adding these additional categories to the above total will show a total of 717 served through ESG.

DRAFT

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	7,623,580	3,919,616
HOME	public - federal	4,136,366	405,494.08
ESG	public - federal	434,018	310,887
Continuum of Care	public - federal	2,957,037	
Section 8	public - federal	213,662,737	79,077,890
Other	public - federal	2,471,604	
Other	public - local	9,920,383	
Other	public - state	822,246	

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

In the chart above, the "Resources Made Available" column was that originally programmed by the County for use in its Action Plan according to Baltimore County's federal award for ESG and the federal award plus additional program income in the case of the CDBG and HOME programs. Expenditure numbers are from the PR23 reports.

Original CDBG projections included an award of \$4,212,731, program income of \$575,000, \$2,835,849 in prior year resources for a total available of \$7,623,580 during the program year. Of the available amount, \$3,919,616 was drawn during the program year. Activities representing a benefit to low/moderate income County residents represented 99.97% of funds spent, while Public Services represented 13% of expenditures and 16% was spent on administrative costs. All activities fell within HUD's required CDBG spending caps/ratios. The County expended \$557,660 in CDBG-CV during the program year.

HOME projections expected an award of \$2,237,888 and program income of \$550,000 with \$1,348,478 available in prior year HOME resources. The County disbursed \$473,614.30 of its HOME funds during the reporting period on first time homebuyers and existing homeowner units.

ESG annual allocation was \$361,018 with an additional \$73,000 in prior year resources for a total of \$434,018. The County expended \$310,887.25, leaving a balance of \$50,130.32.

All funds were used for activities in keeping with the priorities established in both the County's Consolidated Plan and its Annual Action Plan. In the "Amount Expended" column, the County took the CDBG number from IDIS, but also includes funds drawn in the program year as well as those awarded with prior year awarded allocations. Additionally, the County receipted much less program income than originally expected which required it to adjust Administrative and Public Service caps slightly downward and reduce overall Consolidated Plan projections.

The County leverages federal funds with state and county dollars. As part of the County's Voluntary Compliance Agreement (VCA), the County places \$3 million per year into an affordable housing fund and \$300,000 per year into a fund for the modification of Housing Choice Voucher holder's apartments for accessibility modifications. County General Funds supporting the VCA projects are used as HOME match and this match is documented in the VCA loan agreements for each project. No VCA funded projects occurred during Program Year 2022. A list of other projects funded with leveraged dollars is summarized below. In addition, the County contributed the following to ongoing operational costs: \$267,654 to the Community Action Agency, \$332,000 to Housing Counseling, \$760,000 to public service grantees and/or community based nonprofit organizations, \$793,167 in grants to homeless service providers, and \$4,009,378 expended for the operations/maintenance of the County's three largest homeless shelters which are located in County-owned buildings and operated by nonprofit agencies. During the reporting period, fair housing testing activities were met. The County contributed \$100,000 in County General Funds to these Fair Housing services. The County also received an increased award of \$892,000 in funding through the State of Maryland's Homeless Solutions Program used to fund homeless shelters, rapid rehousing, eviction prevention, and short term hoteling costs.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
Community Conservation Areas	95	100	Land Management Area in Master Plan 2020, mainly older communities ringing Baltimore Beltway
Opportunity Areas	50	50	Areas tied to the Voluntary Compliance Agreement
Sustainable Communities	10	0	Communities approved by Governor's Smart Growth Subcabinet per Sustainable Communities Act 2010

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

The overwhelming majority of the County’s projects serve residents countywide. Those numbers do not pull into the chart above even though the County created a "Countywide" target area to assist in this chart's full representation of costs. As a result, the chart above is summarizing the activities of a very limited number of projects each year. The County had one public service project located in a Community Conservation area which served youth. As the chart above reflects, the project served 100% youth from the defined area of the Community Conservation area. This same project was co-located in an Opportunity Area. The County's HOME-Funded VCA placeholder projects would have also assisted Opportunity areas. Of the two projects potentially targeted to an Opportunity Area, only the youth project went forward and served its intended beneficiaries. No projects were specifically targeted to a

Sustainable Community.

As mentioned above, the County's HUD-funded entitlement projects are open to citizens countywide and are not restricted to one of the target communities mentioned above, even if they are physically located in one of the three target areas. All public service activities in Baltimore County are open to citizens countywide as long as the CDBG eligibility requirements are satisfied. This is the case even if the project is located in one of the County's identified geographic focus areas. For the purposes of building affordable housing, however, the County is prioritizing family housing in Opportunity Areas. Built environment projects around affordable housing are targeted to Opportunity Areas associated with the County's Voluntary Compliance Agreement. The Sustainable Community and Community Conservation Areas are locally designed and correspond to State or local urban planning/funding areas.

The distribution of funds above does not include the funds spent on administrative activities in support of General Administration, Housing Rehabilitation, Housing Services, and HOME Administration. Additionally, the three target areas above can overlap. As a result, a funded project could be represented across all three listed areas and/or a project could be physically be operated in one of the geographic areas above and serve citizens countwide. As a result, the numbers in the chart above represent a very limited slice of County activities and will represent only a small percentage of total funds spent.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

Public Resources

Baltimore County continued working with the Maryland Department of Housing and Community Development, the State's financing agency, to provide local funding and support for state-funded projects within the county. The County's program to provide assistance to first-time homebuyers works in tandem with state mortgage loans as well. The County delegated over \$30 million in bond authority to the State of Maryland to issue mortgages under the Maryland Mortgage Program, the Lead Hazard Reduction Grant and Loan Program (LHRGLP), and the Accessible Homes for Seniors Program (AHFS). The County is a Maryland State Level II Authority and originates and approves single-family rehabilitation loans under the Maryland Rehabilitation Loan Program (MHRP), the Lead Hazard Reduction Grant and Loan Program (LHRGLP), and the Accessible Homes for Seniors Program (AHFS). This past year, the County supported two LHRGLPs.

HUD-leveraged Resources and Matching Grants

Baltimore County's Continuum of Care services funding in the amount of \$2.8 million addressed the needs of the homeless. Baltimore County more than covered its ESG match requirements. Match came from a variety of sources including County General Funds dedicated to shelter operations (\$4,158,013) and state funds for shelter operations (\$251,700). The following ESG grants were matched with the above funds: Episcopal Housing Corporation Rapid Rehousing, Prologue Street Outreach, and St Vincent de Paul Rapid Rehousing. Normally, the County's match requirement for the HOME program is 25% of each annual allocation from non-federal sources to be expended on the development of affordable and supportive housing. Baltimore County's match is derived from the affordable housing projects associated with the County's Voluntary Compliance Agreement (VCA) and the associated creation of hard units funding with County funding. Each VCA project funded includes documentation in its loan agreement that these funds are match to the County's HOME funds. Baltimore County's match requirement is calculated in accordance with 24 CFR 92.218 as amended and consists of revenue bonds, cash, and tax savings from negotiated tax agreements with developers of affordable housing. Baltimore County's HOME Matching Liability for the program year was waived for this reporting period due to the COVID-19 pandemic (April 10, 2020 - Availability of Waiver and Suspension of HOME Program Requirements). On April 20, 2020, the County requested a

waiver for its HOME match liability to ease the economic burden and eliminate the need for the County to identify other sources of match for HOME activities during the FFY 2020, FFY 2021, and FFY 2022 period. The request was granted and is applicable to the current reporting period.

Private Resources

The County continues outreach to local banks and lending institutions to expand community reinvestment activities; both participate in the County's Settlement Expense Loan Program (SELP). They agree to companion their first mortgage products with our SELP loans and also participate on the boards of directors of our nonprofit housing organizations. In FFY 2022 (CFY 2023), approximately \$1,000,000 million in first mortgages were leveraged due to our investment in closing cost loans for income-eligible first-time homebuyers.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	17,090,550
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	301,048
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	17,391,598
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	0
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	17,391,598

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
Towns at Padonia	12/16/2022	301,048	0	0	0	0	0	301,048

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
0	108,750	88,827	0	0

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number	5	0	0	3	2	0
Sub-Contracts						
Number	3	0	2	0	1	0
Dollar Amount	295,798	0	148,888	0	124,110	22,800
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			
Number	0	0	0			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	1	1	0			
Dollar Amount	71,190	71,190	0			

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0		0		
Businesses Displaced		0		0		
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0		0		
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0		0		
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

DRAFT

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	204	31
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	51	3
Total	255	34

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	0	0
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	150	0
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	102	34
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	3	0
Total	255	34

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

CAPER downloaded One Year Goal numbers above show 255 households projected to be assisted (0 homeless, 204 non-homeless, and 51 with special needs). Of these 255, CAPER download numbers showed 150 in production of new units, 102 in rehab of existing units, and 3 in acquisition of existing units.

Original projections for the CAPER period above included homeowners receiving rehabilitation through the Single Family Rehab program (48) and HAMP Homeowners (30), rehabilitation of Alternative Living Units (ALUs) for citizens living with a disability (6) with an additional dwelling for (10) persons, HAMP for renters (5), HOME Rehabilitation (3), multifamily affordable rental housing for units through HOME associated with the County's Voluntary Compliance Agreement (150 placeholder), and homeownership repair through HOME (6).

Actual activities were as follows : Single Family Rehab (16), HAMP Homeowners (9), HAMP renters (3), (as described in the PR10); ALUs (3), multifamily affordable rental units through HOME VCA (0), Homeownership repair through HOME (3). The ALU activities by Board of Child Care and ARC Baltimore were delayed while Richcroft was partially completed. COVID and the increased costs of materials continued to impact original projections and resulted in fewer rehabilitated households than anticipated. Single Family Rehab program originally projected 48, but was able to assist 16 households due to high construction costs. Another project, Rebuilding Together served 36 household units, but Baltimore County funds only the rehab administration costs and not the units.

The reason for the large decrease between the County's goal of 255 and actual served number of 34 was largely because the 150 HOME-funded placeholder project did not move forward. Additionally, Single Family Rehab (SFH) and the HAMP program continued to experience challenges from the COVID-19 pandemic. As with many construction programs, SFH and HAMP experienced a shortage of materials, extended permitting times, and inability to enter customer units to perform site inspections necessary to determine the initial work to be performed. Delays in processing (receiving complete information from borrowers and funders) was also a challenge. No physical outreach events occurred during the reporting period due to pandemic safety protocols. SFH served 16 out of its original 48 projected. The County originally projected 30 HAMP projects for homeowners, but only served five (9). Projections for HAMP renters were 6 and the County served three (3). As per the HOME Disbursements and Unit Completions report for the report period, HOME assisted two (2) first time homebuyers, and provided home rehabilitation to one (1) homeowner households.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

In addition to the activities in the chart above, the County also assisted 7 of the originally projected 30 new housing units through its Settlement Expense Loan Program. Those numbers for Homebuyer Assistance are not included in the chart above. However, Baltimore County has included a Table 3B Section 215 report as an attachment under the Administrative portion of this CAPER because this section of the CAPER does not allow the inclusion of this chart. The Table 3B chart will show 41 total households assisted (34 + 7) on the Section 215 accomplishment for the CAPER period because it adds the 7 households under the homebuyer assistance category that is excluded from the chart above.

The increase in cost associated with homeowner rehabilitation will mean that the County is unable to take on as many projects in the future and may ultimately fall short of our Consolidated Plan projections if prices continue to rise and permit delays continue to be a challenge related to COVID. HAMP activities

are also growing in cost and may be reduced in future Action Plans, thereby reducing the County's total production during the Consolidated Plan period. After a delay in projects due to COVID, the County expects to continue to see HAMP and Single Family Rehab requests rise in the coming fiscal year despite the added cost per project.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	56	0
Low-income	144	0
Moderate-income	115	3
Total	315	3

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

The HOME numbers reflect those numbers identified in the PR23 HOME Summary of Accomplishments. One (1) of the County's 3 Home Units assisted fell between 0-60% of Area Median Income and two (2) fell between 61-80% of Area Median Income. Of those assisted with the HOME program one (1) was an existing homeowner. Two (2) were first time homebuyers. The CDBG numbers also reflect the PR 23 Housing breakdown. The pre-populated CDBG numbers loading into the CAPER download showed 108 total persons served with 12 at 0-30% of Area Median Income (AMI), 48 at 31-50% of AMI, and 48 at 51-80% of AMI. Baltimore County is using its PR23 report data above instead. Baltimore County's single family rehabilitation projects are a critical component of this effort. Baltimore County also engages in additional housing payment assistance (eviction prevention, rapid rehousing) using a combination of federal, state and county funds. These types of housing assistance are not referenced above. Additionally, the chart's numbers do not reflect any County funds supporting housing rehabilitation/construction projects dedicated through the Voluntary Compliance Agreement during this CAPER period or the homeless households assisted through ESG Rapid Rehousing efforts. These numbers are, however, reflected in CR - 05 Goals and Outcomes.

Worst-case housing needs (defined as the housing needs of low-income renter households who experience severe rent burdens or who pay more than half their income for rent, live in seriously substandard housing (which includes the homeless) or that have been involuntarily displaced) are being addressed in large measure through the County's VCA efforts. The Goals and Outcomes CR-05 section details the strides the county has made to increase the number of housing units for this population. In addition, Baltimore County continues to provide homeownership opportunities for families and individuals through its first-time homebuyers program, homeownership counseling, provision of home rehabilitation to include replacements of furnaces, water and sewer lines, etc to low and moderate-income homeowners. These efforts, together with the VCA outcomes, represent Baltimore County's commitment to fostering and maintaining affordable housing.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

Baltimore County and its Continuum of Care (CoC) have supported outreach efforts to the homeless for many years. During this CAPER period, the county maintained its commitment by administering and overseeing funding allocated to non-profit provider Prologue Inc., which takes the lead role in county outreach efforts. On average, Prologue engages with 750-800 unsheltered and unduplicated individuals annually. Each January, the CoC conducts its annual Point in Time (PIT) count aimed at estimating the sheltered and unsheltered individuals experiencing homelessness in the county. In 2020 and 2021, the PIT count was impacted by COVID resulting in lower shelter census and limited volunteers to conduct the county. Baltimore County did complete a sheltered and unsheltered PIT count in January 2023 for a total count of 612 individuals (480 sheltered and 132 unsheltered individuals). These totals are closer to the counts conducted pre-COVID.

During the beginning two months of the reporting period, the County's shelter system was still following quarantine guidance from our County Department of Health and Human Services. Shelters were still operating at a lower than 100% allowable capacity through the summer of 2022. Increased emergency funds allowed the County to accommodate shelter needs. Health Department funding supported COVID testing and basic medical support for those in hotels.

Prologue utilized CoC project funding and County funds totaling \$391,238 in County Fiscal Year 2023 for operating costs associated with their street outreach. Prologue served 403 homeless persons during the reporting period, 286 of which met HUD's definition of "literally homeless" before engaging with Prologue. Of those served in FFY22/CFY23, 11 clients were placed into permanent housing, 8 were able to increase their income while being served and 270 were connected with health insurance. The project continues to serve chronically homeless individuals with long histories of homelessness due to limited Permanent Supportive Housing options, mental health and substance use issues exacerbated by limited services offered during the pandemic.

Baltimore County funded shelter diversion through St. Vincent de Paul and 117 individuals were served during the reporting period.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

Baltimore County owns the two largest emergency shelters that are open all year and provides a temporary solution to homeless individuals. These two shelters are: the Eastern Family Resource Center Shelter (EFRC), located in Rosedale; and the Westside Men's Shelter with 125 beds, located in

Catonsville. The EFRC building contains two shelters: the Eastside Emergency Shelter for Women and Families with 220 beds and the Eastside Men's Shelter with 15 beds.

The county also owns the Hannah More shelter in Owings Mills, which maintains 85 beds for families. In addition, the county funds a cold weather shelter from November through April operated by Churches for Streets of Hope which offers 16 additional seasonal beds. This is offered as a "Code Blue" shelter open every night during the months. The county and a variety of funding sources support additional shelters, including: The Family Crisis Center (FCC) shelter for victims of domestic violence (29 beds) and TurnAround, the primary trafficking service agency, that places those fleeing into hotel rooms for a short period of time until they can move into the FCC shelter or until they are placed in more permanent housing.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

Baltimore County has and continues to work aggressively to prevent homelessness and ensure that low and very-low income populations receive homeless prevention services. The Baltimore County Department of Social Services (DSS) operates (CE) that functions as a coordinated entry point to all emergency services including emergency shelter and eviction prevention services. Those at risk of homelessness call the CE hotline to be assessed, prioritized, and referred as necessary to emergency services. Annual CDBG expenditures for homeless prevention through Subsistence Payments in Federal Fiscal Year 2022 was \$41,591, while CDBG-CV funding was just over \$161,000. County grant agreements require organizations report the number of clients served who are low income. The grantees understand that the county's intent is to serve the low-income population and grantees ensure that their clients meet low-income criteria.

CDBG funding helped to leverage a total investment from a wide variety of sources, including county funds, of \$3.86 million toward homeless prevention efforts. Most homeless prevention providers enter information about the clients they serve into the county's Human Management Information System (HMIS), managed by the Department of Housing and Community Development. HMIS reports indicate that providers linked to HMIS served 6,501 total unique individuals across all projects during FFY22/CFY23. The Maryland Food Bank reports that it serves more than 100,000 individuals annually, and the county Department of Social Services provides a wide array of services, including eviction prevention, that contribute to homeless prevention.

Discharge Plans

The County utilized several strategies to prevent individuals being discharged from a publicly funded institution (such as foster care, hospitals, mental health programs, and/or jail) from becoming homeless. The County's Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) is responsible for implementing discharge planning for children in foster care. The County's goal is to make sure every child has a permanent supportive connection before they age out of care. As policy, if youth leave after they turn 18, they can receive aftercare assistance including housing until age 21. County protocol has healthcare institutions call Coordinated Entry for those being discharged from hospital settings and do not have housing. DHHS also works with the Department of Corrections on discharge planning for clients. Homeless prevention services are provided to link clients with mainstream resources, workforce development, credit repair and eviction prevention assistance. DHHS's Behavioral Health Unit also provides in-house case management support to clients with mental illness to assist with the transition, including residential rehabilitation housing beds through private providers when necessary. The Baltimore County CoC and the Baltimore County Housing Office (local public housing authority) successfully partnered in receiving a grant for the Family Unification Program(FUP) which is geared to individuals and families who have exited foster care system who are homeless or at-risk of becoming homeless.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

Baltimore County remained fully committed to the priorities outlined in the HEARTH act of 2009 and as articulated in the County's 10-year plan to end homelessness, A Home for All, published in July of 2014. Key goals and principles of the HEARTH Act that are embraced in the 10-year plan, and which remain Baltimore County priorities, include:

- An emphasis on housing, the "housing first" approach, and rapid re-housing
- A focus on outcomes such as:
- Reducing the number of people who become homeless
- Reducing length of homelessness
- Reducing homeless episodes to no more than 30 days
- Reducing returns to homelessness
- Increasing jobs and income

Baltimore County's funded outreach providers continued to focus efforts on the chronically and long-term unsheltered around the county. During the reporting period, Baltimore County funded two Rapid Rehousing (RRH) providers to assist households move into permanent housing with short-term rental support. Episcopal Housing served 56 families in RRH funding, 8 of which met HUD's "literally homeless" prior to their assistance, 25 were moved into permanent housing during CFY23. The second RRH provider funded was St. Vincent de Paul, who assisted 104 individuals, 22 of which met the "literal homeless" definition and 30 were placed into permanent housing. Also, during CFY23, a seasonal cold weather emergency shelter was funded with ESG funds. That cold weather shelter operated from November 2022 through April 2023 and served total men, 48 of which met the HUD definition of "literally homeless" prior to being placed in shelter. During the time the shelter was opened, 25 were connected to health insurance benefits, and three were placed in permanent housing at the end of the cold weather season.

According to the Housing Inventory Count between 2022 and 2023, the County increased its permanent supportive housing (PSH) units from 494 to 511, dedicated to the chronically homeless. The county also did increase its rapid rehousing placements from 136 to 246 between County Fiscal Years 2022 and 2023.

Helping Homeless Persons (continued):

The average length of stay for those in Emergency Shelter and Transitional Housing for Baltimore County, as reported to HUD for the required System Performance Measure report, went from 119 during Federal Fiscal Year 2021 to 109 in Federal Fiscal Year 2022 (County Fiscal Year 2023). Most likely, this is due to the additional federal COVID relief funding that the County was awarded. These funds assisted people move out of shelter and into housing during the pandemic and beyond. During the reporting period, the Baltimore County Continuum of Care (CoC) worked diligently to re-establish the Ending Veterans Homelessness Committee to ensure homeless outreach, shelter and Supportive Services for Veteran Families providers are consistently attending along with our Veteran Administration partners. The CoC and HMIS lead team worked to establish a reliable real-time veteran by name list (BNL). The committee met bi-weekly to discuss progress made towards housing each veteran. The committee made significant progress in developing a BNL that reflected veterans served by providers within the most recent 90 days. Between November 2022 and July 2023, 114 veterans were served ; 45 of which were housed permanently. During the program reporting period, the County filled/issued 270 VASH Vouchers, out of the total 318 allocated from HUD - achieving a utilization rate of 85%.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

Baltimore County has no public housing projects and therefore this section is not applicable.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

Baltimore County has no public housing projects and therefore this section is not applicable.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

Baltimore County has no public housing projects and therefore this section is not applicable.

DRAFT

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

Baltimore County law prohibits housing discrimination based on lawful sources of income. The County continued to use HOME and CDBG to increase access to homeownership and maintain and increase housing for low-moderate income households. The County continued its support of local fair housing efforts, including providing financial support to the Baltimore Metropolitan Council (BMC) for its regional fair housing efforts. These efforts include funding a portion of BMC's Regional Housing Policy Coordinator position and the work associated with the Regional Analysis of Impediments (AI) as performed by consultant, Root Policy. County Department of Housing and Community Development staff engaged in monthly and other periodic meetings with BMC's multi-jurisdictional Fair Housing Group, AI Regional Stakeholder Group and Regional Public Housing Authorities Group. The County contracted with the Economic Action Maryland to provide assistance to County households in need of tenant advocacy services, fair housing complaint assistance, rental testing, and lending testing.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Baltimore County has a number of programs designed to address the obstacles to meeting underserved needs and these programs are spread throughout Baltimore County and address a myriad of needs. Rental and mortgage assistance are available at a number of non-profit partners around the County and housing counselors are available to assist individuals and families remain in their homes while addressing issues that may lead to homelessness. Primarily designed for the homeless, Baltimore County produced a "Street Card" listing all available services and contact numbers for applicable social service agencies. Food pantries, employment counseling, and transportation assistance are also available. During this reporting period, the County also created a Spanish Street Card for the first time.

In response to the pandemic, the County also produced a weekly Covid resource guide and food pantry list to assist County residents access available services and supports. The guide provided information on healthcare resources, food resources, housing and utilities assistance, judicial and legal assistance, workers and business owners assistance, internet and wifi access, services for seniors and Spanish speaking residents as well as resources for consumers. In addition, the County partnered with multiple food banks to provide food boxes at accessible sites throughout the County. The County also established a large-scale eviction prevention program using CDBG-CV funding and U.S. Treasury ERAP funding.

Affordable and accessible housing for people with disabilities can also be difficult to find in Baltimore County which is why the County continued to use its entitlement funds in support of the Housing

Accessibility Modification Program to fund the construction of ramps and other modifications to increase the number of handicapped accessible units.

The County completed a comprehensive renovation to provide accessibility improvements to a Penn-Mar group home serving four individuals with disabilities. A second group home renovation to a NCIA bathroom assist an additional four persons. As reported on in the prior CAPER, the County completed one outdoor accessibility project for people with disabilities at Penn-Mar assisting 120 individuals and design/engineering work on Catholic Charities Villa Maria building in Timonium which will provide day programming for 120 people with disabilities.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The Lead-Safe Baltimore County (LSBC) was awarded a federal grant of two million dollars (2,000,000.00), from The United States Department of Housing and Urban Development on January 1, 2021. This grant is one of the main funding sources for LSBC to this date. Requirements of the grant allow lead hazard testing one hundred eligible units, owner or rented in Baltimore County Maryland. Ninety-three (93) eligible units that have tested positive, for the presence of lead will receive remediation through the federal grant. Our office continues to provide information to residents of Baltimore County. Referral to the State of Maryland Special Loan programs are offered to residents that apply for assistance that is offered through the county. Through the Baltimore County's Single Family Rehabilitation program, each home that is assisted is tested for lead and radon. For extensive cases in need of remediation, the county utilizes the State of Maryland Lead Hazard Reduction Program for unit remediation. The County continues to improve lead safety and housing stability for these residents and their communities.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Beyond the projects identified in the Action Plan, Baltimore County recognized a continued need for County funding of a variety of nonprofit and government efforts to assist children and their families threatened by poverty. County General Funds were used during the CAPER period Abilities Network (kindergarten readiness in economically-stressed communities), Baltimore County Health Department Shelter Nurse program (increased health services in shelter), Churches for Streets of Hope (freezing weather shelter), Community Assistance Network (eviction prevention, food bank, financial literacy), Conflict Resolution Center (trainings resolving disputes), Deltas (afterschool), Dundalk Renaissance Corporation (community revitalization services) Episcopal Housing's St Francis Center (eviction prevention), Family Crisis Center (domestic violence victim advocacy, transitional housing), House of Ruth (domestic violence services), Liberty's Promise (services to immigrant youth), Lighthouse (youth mentoring and counseling), Maryland Food Bank, Meal on Wheels (meals for low-income), Pro Bono Counseling Project (mental health counseling), Prologue (homeless outreach), St Vincent de Paul (shelter diversion, shelter and youth healthy food access), TALMAR (therapeutic horticulture), and Turnaround (domestic violence transitional housing and emergency shelter). The County used State Homeless

Solutions Program funds for sheltering through the Family Crisis Center for emergency and transitional shelter programs; and, housing for homeless youth through Prologue. The County also used State Eviction Assistance Program funds through CAN's Outreach Program.

In addition, the County's Department of Social Services continued to assist families by providing cash assistance, housing and energy assistance and food assistance to lower income families. The County's Department of Economic and Workforce Development, Public Schools, Libraries and Community Colleges also continued to support services to prevent individuals and families from falling into poverty.

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the County assisted households through its Eviction Prevention Program. During the reporting period, 797 persons received subsistence payments totaling \$557,660.12 in COVID-related eviction prevention program assistance.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The County's work throughout this fiscal year reflected a highly collaborative process that included participation from low-to moderate-income persons and communities, nonprofit organizations, individuals, government agencies and business associations to help identify goals and objectives and measure our progress in meeting those goals. In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the County developed institutional responses to meet the emerging needs of its citizens and has continued many of these efforts into this reporting period. The County continued workgroups/partnerships around Food Instability and Housing Instability begun during the pandemic. Partners in these efforts came from County agencies, the County Executive's office, members of our County Commission on Disabilities, Baltimore County Homeless Roundtable (CoC), provider stakeholders, and other impacted business partners. In addition to these workgroups, the County maintained its tradition of involving broad groups in developing its institutional structure. RFP review committees included public and private members. Roundtable membership continued to be open to all interested community members and organizations and included public and private nonprofit agencies, faith-based organizations, service providers, mainstream programs, consumers, and concerned citizens. The County tried to maximize resources and leverage public and private funds to carry out the goals and objectives identified in Baltimore County's Consolidated Plan – all while involving our stakeholders as partners in shaping the balance between citizen needs and available resources.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

As mentioned above, the County continues to involve a wide variety of stakeholders in its service delivery and in the discussion about how to enhance services provided in Baltimore County. Although the Baltimore County Department of Housing and Community Development serves as staff to the Homeless Roundtable, the responsibilities of the Roundtable include identifying and developing partnerships, setting performance and outcome standards, identifying resources, monitoring programs, improving efficacy and coordination, and overseeing the homeless management information system.

Committees include: Coordinated Entry, Data Management, Ending Veteran Homelessness, Executive, Mainstream Resources, Rating and Ranking, and Racial Disparities.

In addition, the County works with developers and non-profits to expand the range of housing options in Baltimore County. The County provided a pool of County General Funds to assist developers develop more low-income housing creation in the County.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

Baltimore County identified three impediments to fair housing choice in its Consolidated Plan: 1) Lack of Quantitative Objectives; 2) Redevelopment Barriers; and 3) Source of Income Restrictions. During the CAPER period, the County continued to financially support the Baltimore Metropolitan Council's Regional Housing Policy Coordinator position in advancing the collaborative mission, along with other jurisdictional partners, to affirmatively further fair housing and carry out the Baltimore Regional Fair Housing Action Plan in its 2020 Analysis of Impediments. The County worked with developers to review potential projects and continued to put money aside for the creation of hard units, though no new units were created during the CAPER period. Through June 30, 2023, 584 units were approved and/or in the pipeline; 463 units were completed as either new construction or were substantially rehabbed; 450 units were leased; 231 units were leased to families with incomes at 30 percent or less of the AMI; 291 units leased had three or more bedrooms; and 33 leased units were defined as "accessible" per the VCA. The County continued to fund the Housing Accessibility Modification Program (HAMP) for both housing voucher holders and non-voucher renters to make rental units accessible for those needing modifications. Through outreach, testing initiatives, and tenant advocacy, the County continues to educate and enforce its own, and the State's, new Home Act laws which now provide another tool for stopping source of income discrimination in Baltimore County.

Baltimore County continues to participate in the regional work of the Baltimore Metropolitan Committee (BMC) which serves as a regional forum for discussing AI implementation. A list of related work is included in the Appendices.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Baltimore County believes in a strong quality assurance system which includes a comprehensive monitoring strategy of all Federal formula entitlement funds, including CDBG, HOME, ESG and the Continuum of Care programs. The basis for these monitoring efforts are those set forth in HUD regulations and any HUD monitoring guidance. To assure that there are mechanisms in place to enforce compliance with regulatory requirements and all other appropriate standards, grant and contract agreements detail the standards of accountability for subrecipients and standard templates are approved by the County Law Office. These agreements provide specific detail regarding regulatory requirements, e.g. Davis Bacon Act requirements, MBE/WBE expectations, Scope of Work, Outcome Performance Measurements, reversion of assets, and the documentation that must be collected and maintained to evidence national objective compliance.

Program and financial staff working with CDBG, HOME, ESG and CoC funds are responsible for conducting monitoring reviews of subrecipient agencies. These monitoring efforts ensure that all subrecipients maintain appropriate documentation to support funded efforts. Monthly desk reviews are conducted of costs charged before subrecipient reimbursements occur and matched with monthly statistical reports to ensure on-task performance with expected program goals. On site reviews of subrecipient agencies also occur throughout the program year and include program file review, financial record review to support costs submitted for reimbursement, income verification and/or presumed eligibility determination, and other program specific certifications for federal funds like affirmatively furthering fair housing efforts, drug-free workplace, non-discrimination and equal opportunity policies, etc. On site reviews include an exit interview and follow up correspondence detailing monitoring efforts.

The goal of all monitoring efforts, whether through desk review or on-site visits, is to identify areas of success for the subrecipients as well as areas of deficiency and to provide technical assistance to assist subrecipients correct deficient practices. This technical assistance should result in compliance with federal laws, regulations, policies and procedures that support proper administration of federal programs and minimize the risk of improper use of federal funds.

During the CAPER period, the County performed monthly desk reviews on all subgrantees receiving CDBG and ESG funds. Files were reviewed for compliance with both program and fiscal goals. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic the County was unable to make onsite visits during the reporting period. No CoC programs were monitored. Prior to the pandemic, eleven programs were monitored with a site visit during the CAPER period. Nine of these received federal funds and were monitored in accordance with federal guidelines.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

This CAPER represents an assessment of activities and accomplishments by Baltimore County in its use of its annual entitlement funds from CDBG, HOME, and ESG during the prior fiscal year ending June 30. Completion of annual CAPER is required by HUD to be submitted for review by or before September 27 of the year for the prior fiscal year. Primarily due to a changeover in the County's financial accounting system, Baltimore County was unable to complete its CAPER by the September deadline following the program year. The County notified HUD that the CAPER would be delayed due to this changeover issue and was later compounded by COVID funding/reporting pressures. Final numbers became available in early 2023 while County staff were also responding to large scale changes in the U.S Treasury reporting requirements that would have meant losing federal funding if not completed before the CAPER. The County communicated with HUD throughout this time period.

The CAPER allows HUD to assess the County's administrative capacity to effectively utilize its federal entitlements in order to achieve its Consolidated Plan goals and objectives in compliance with the primary statutory objectives of providing decent housing and a suitable living environment for its low and moderate income residents. The CAPER also includes objective measurement of performance against numeric five-year targets for affordable housing and community development activities as identified in the Consolidated Plan.

The regulations at 24 CFR 91.105 require the following citizen participation aspects in regards to the CAPER:

- The citizen participation plan must provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports that is not less than 15 days. The plan must state how reasonable notice and opportunity will be given.
- The citizen participation plan shall require the jurisdiction to consider any comments or views of the citizens received in writing or orally. A summary of these comments or views, if any are received, are included as part of this CAPER.

The opportunity for public involvement is provided through solicitation of public review and comments. The draft CAPER is made available for public review in all County libraries and on the Baltimore County website at www.baltimorecountymd.gov/agencies/housing. Written comments received during the public comment period are recorded and evaluated, with results incorporated as appropriate into the final CAPER. A written summary of comments, and any responses, is included with the CAPER and submitted to HUD. The public comment period began on December 13, 2023 and concluded on December 28, 2023. No written comments were submitted during the public comment

period, and, therefore, no written summary of comments and responses has been included with this submission.

DRAFT

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

Impact on Identified Needs -The County continued to promote affordable rental housing for low-income households and affordable housing in areas not experiencing racial and ethnic concentrations or areas of high poverty. As promised, the County supported programs dealing with day care, parenting, domestic violence, sexual abuse, life skills, job readiness and eviction prevention as well as programs providing supportive services and rapid rehousing for those experiencing homelessness. Some of these efforts yielded greater results than expected and others performed at a lesser rate. In sum total, however, the County made an impact on its identified needs.

Indicators that Describe Results - Baltimore County selected 15 goals across five priority need areas as follows: 1) Affordable Housing, 2) Housing for the Homeless/Those At-Risk of Homelessness, 3) Housing Opportunities for Non-Homeless Special Needs, 4) Community Infrastructure, and 5) Community Sustainability. These can be found in Section CR 05 - Goals and Outcomes.

Barriers to Fulfilling the Strategies and Overall Vision –COVID has presented the largest barrier to overall completion of the County's vision. Both the County and its nonprofit partners struggled over the reporting period to continue the ongoing requirements of the annual entitlement funding while simultaneously establishing funding distribution systems for the massive influx of Covid funding pushed out to the local jurisdictions in a short period of time. Many of the nonprofit entitlement providers were pressed into service for distribution of Covid funds and staff resources were pressed thin. In addition to the individual challenges that confront nonprofit subgrantees in their efforts to deliver services that are described in the Status of Grant Program section below, a continued barrier in Baltimore County is community opposition to development in general and affordable rental housing for families, in particular. Baltimore County continues to follow the steps outlined in the VCA.

Status of Grant Programs/Activities Falling Behind – Contractor availability and rising costs continue to impact performance numbers for Single Family Rehab, HAMP projects, and capital projects. Several capital projects were delayed, but are being completed in FFY 2023. SELP program was also impacted by rising home prices which decreases affordability in a tight housing market.

Grant Disbursement Timely - All activities associated with the program year (other than those mentioned above) are on schedule and expected to meet or exceed goals.

Major Goals on Target and Any Changes to Program Objectives - The County is constantly adjusting to respond to activities not meeting goals. Baltimore County's major goals are on target as discussed above. For those initiatives not meeting goals, technical assistance/ programmatic review by County staff is offered. Assistance is provided when programs have difficulty meeting expected goals and for

successful efforts needing assistance due to high levels of demand/success.

In a clarification to our Fair Housing goal chart, the original Consolidated Plan divided the County into 4 sectors with the expectation of performing testing across the County. The goal chart shows 4 as the outcome for the year and 20 as the outcome for the Consolidated Plan period. A better measurement is the number of tests conducted during the period. In the second year, the County conducted 20 Rental test and 20 lending tests for a total of 40. These numbers are reported in the goal chart, but distort the original projections. In reality, the project is on track with expected testing rates.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

DRAFT

CR-50 - HOME 24 CFR 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in 24 CFR §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

During this reporting period, HOME site monitoring resumed in accordance with HUD guidelines for HOME-assisted rental projects. Projects inspected during the program year were Pikeswood Apartments, Greens at English Counsel, Glen Manor, Portside Apartments, River Crossing, River Gates, Hollis Ferry Senior Housing, The Greens at Rolling Road, Weinberg 4, Weinberg 5, Day Village, Aigburth Vale, Cove Point, Greens at Logan Fields, Chapel Springs Apartment, Prospect Place, Parkview Rosedale, Henrietta Lacks Village, Lyon Home Townhouses and Dunfield Townhomes. No major issues were detected. A total of 816 units were inspected by on staff inspectors. Where issues were detected, letters were sent to property managers and owners of deficient units. Thirty (30) days were allowed for corrections to be made.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 24 CFR 91.520(e) and 24 CFR 92.351(a)

In an effort to affirmatively market HOME-funded project, Baltimore County targets groups that may not normally have access to government-funded programs. The typically underserved populations of African-American, Hispanic, and people with a disability were primary recipients of the County's outreach efforts to affirmatively market HOME-funded projects via community-based organizations, nonprofit organizations, religious organizations, social organizations, real estate companies/organizations and lenders. More than 90% of those receiving homebuyer education and housing counseling were African-Americans. Seventy-five percent of those receiving HOME-funded Settlement Expense Loan Program (SELP) funds were minorities.

Baltimore County held its annual "Meet the Primes" event on October 12, 2022 at the Timonium Fairgrounds. The event was held in conjunction with Baltimore County Public Schools. On November 4, 2022, Baltimore County's Department of Housing and Community Development held its annual "Calling Baltimore County HOME" meeting with developers at the Randallstown Community Center.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

Baltimore County received \$166,165.35 in program income for the FFY2022 reporting period. Total draws used for funding HOME-assisted projects were \$473,614.30 with \$87,614.30 assisting existing

homeowners and the balance assisting first time homebuyers as identified in the PR23 HOME report.

**Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 24 CFR 91.220(k)
(STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable
housing). 24 CFR 91.320(j)**

DRAFT

CR-58 – Section 3

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Total Labor Hours	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Total Number of Activities	0	0	0	0	0
Total Labor Hours	0	0	0		
Total Section 3 Worker Hours	0	0	0		
Total Targeted Section 3 Worker Hours	0	0	0		

Table 14 – Total Labor Hours

Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Public Housing Targeted Workers	0	0	0		
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Other Funding Targeted Workers.	0	0	0		
Direct, on-the job training (including apprenticeships).	0	0	0		
Indirect training such as arranging for, contracting for, or paying tuition for, off-site training.	0	0	0		
Technical assistance to help Section 3 workers compete for jobs (e.g., resume assistance, coaching).	0	0	0		
Outreach efforts to identify and secure bids from Section 3 business concerns.	0	0	0		
Technical assistance to help Section 3 business concerns understand and bid on contracts.	0	0	0		
Division of contracts into smaller jobs to facilitate participation by Section 3 business concerns.	0	0	0		
Provided or connected residents with assistance in seeking employment including: drafting resumes, preparing for interviews, finding job opportunities, connecting residents to job placement services.	0	0	0		
Held one or more job fairs.	0	0	0		
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that can provide direct services or referrals.	0	0	0		
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that provide one or more of the following: work readiness health screenings, interview clothing, uniforms, test fees, transportation.	0	0	0		
Assisted residents with finding child care.	0	0	0		
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend community college or a four year educational institution.	0	0	0		
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend vocational/technical training.	0	0	0		
Assisted residents to obtain financial literacy training and/or coaching.	0	0	0		
Bonding assistance, guaranties, or other efforts to support viable bids from Section 3 business concerns.	0	0	0		
Provided or connected residents with training on computer use or online technologies.	0	0	0		
Promoting the use of a business registry designed to create opportunities for disadvantaged and small businesses.	0	0	0		
Outreach, engagement, or referrals with the state one-stop system, as designed in Section 121(e)(2) of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act.	0	0	0		
Other.	0	0	0		

Table 15 – Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program

Narrative

Baltimore County undertook no Section 3 related activities during the program year.

DRAFT

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	BALTIMORE COUNTY
Organizational DUNS Number	064875644
UEI	
EIN/TIN Number	526000889
Identify the Field Office	BALTIMORE
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Ms
First Name	Heather
Middle Name	
Last Name	Sheridan
Suffix	
Title	Chief of Homeless Services

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	105 W Chesapeake Ave
Street Address 2	Suite 201
City	Towson
State	MD
ZIP Code	-
Phone Number	4108873317
Extension	
Fax Number	
Email Address	hsheridan@baltimorecountymd.gov

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	Ms
First Name	Colleen
Last Name	Mahony
Suffix	
Title	Chief of Grants Management and Planning
Phone Number	4108875785
Extension	
Email Address	cmahony@baltimorecountymd.gov

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date	07/01/2021
Program Year End Date	06/30/2022

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name
City
State
Zip Code
DUNS Number
UEI
Is subrecipient a visting services provider
Subrecipient Organization Type
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount

DRAFT

Attachment

Public Notice – To Be Added to Final Submission

DRAFT

Attachment - ESG Report July 1, 2022 - June 30, 2023

Report Run History

Report ID	Date Ran (Run-time)	Report Type Name	User Creating	Running Provider	Running User	Report Status
56465	09/11/2023 01:42:30 PM (0.15 mins)	EsgCaper CAPER ESG All 7.1.22 to 6.30.23	Joe McRedmond	Team HMIS	Joe McRedmond	Completed
56464	09/11/2023 01:40:17 PM (0.07 mins)	EsgCaper CAPER SoH Men's Shelter (6193) 7.1.22 to 6.30.23	Joe McRedmond	Team HMIS	Joe McRedmond	Completed
56463	09/11/2023 01:38:14 PM (0.06 mins)	EsgCaper CAPER SVDP RRH (ESG) (6223) 7.1.22 to 6.30.23	Joe McRedmond	Team HMIS	Joe McRedmond	Completed
56462	09/11/2023 01:36:38 PM (0.08 mins)	EsgCaper CAPER SVDP: Diversion (BCDP) (6253) 7.1.22 to 6.30.23	Joe McRedmond	Team HMIS	Joe McRedmond	Completed
56461	09/11/2023 01:34:39 PM (0.19 mins)	EsgCaper CAPER Prologue: HUD Street Outreach (3201) 7.1.22 to 6.30.23	Joe McRedmond	Team HMIS	Joe McRedmond	Completed

Showing 1-5 of 12

Report Options

Name: CAPER ESG All 7.1.22 to 6.30.23

Description:

Provider Type: Provider Reporting Group

Reporting Group: ESG All

Program Date Range: 07/01/2022 to 06/30/2023

Entry/Exit Types:

Basic Basic Center HUD PATH Quick Call RHY Standard Transitional Living VA HPRP (Retired)

ESG CAPER Report Results - Date Ran: 09/11/2023 01:42:30 PM - Report ID: 56465

4a - Project Identifiers in HMIS

#	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	All
Organization Name	Org. ID	Project Name	Project ID	HMIS Project Type	Method for Tracking ES	Affiliated with a residential project? (SSO)	Project IDs of Affiliation	CoC Codes	Geocodes	Victim Service Provider	HMIS Software Name	Report Start Date	Report End Date	Total Active Clients	Total Active Households												
N2N: RRH (ESG)	6222	N2N: RRH (ESG)	6222	PH - Rapid Re-Housing (HUD)				MD-505	249005	False	WellSky Community Services	2022-07-01	2023-06-30	56	19												
Prologue (Agency)	17	Prologue: HUD Street Outreach	3201	Street Outreach (HUD)				MD-505	249005	False	WellSky Community Services	2022-07-01	2023-06-30	398	376												
SoH: Men's Shelter	6193	SoH: Men's Shelter	6193	Emergency Shelter (HUD)	Entry/Exit Date			MD-505	249005	False	WellSky Community Services	2022-07-01	2023-06-30	42	38												
Team HMIS	1	SVDP: Diversion (BCDP)	6253	PH - Rapid Re-Housing (HUD)				MD-505	Missing	False	WellSky Community Services	2022-07-01	2023-06-30	117	48												
SVDP (Agency)	6254	SVDP: RRH (ESG)	6223	PH - Rapid Re-Housing (HUD)				MD-505	249005	False	WellSky Community Services	2022-07-01	2023-06-30	104	35												

Showing 1-5 of 5

5a - Report Validation Table

Report Validation Table	Count of Clients for DQ	Count of Clients
1. Total Number of Persons Served	569	717
2. Number of Adults (age 18 or over)	420	559

3. Number of Children (under age 18)	149	158			
4. Number of Persons with Unknown Age	0	0			
5. Number of Leavers	286	307			
6. Number of Adult Leavers	190	211			
7. Number of Adult and Head of Household Leavers	190	211			
8. Number of Stayers	410	410			
9. Number of Adult Stayers	230	348			
10. Number of Veterans	14	23			
11. Number of Chronically Homeless Persons	109	173			
12. Number of Youth Under Age 25	18	19			
13. Number of Parenting Youth Under Age 25 with Children	4	4			
14. Number of Adult Heads of Household	384	516			
15. Number of Child And Unknown-Age Heads of Household	0	0			
16. Heads of Households and Adult Stayers in the Project 365 Days or More	13	13			
6a - Data Quality: Personally Identifiable Information					
Data Element	Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	Information Missing	Data Issues	Total	% of Error Rate
Name (3.1)	0	0	3	3	1%
SSN (3.2)	19	20	15	54	9%
Date of Birth (3.3)	0	5	4	9	2%
Race (3.4)	4	6		10	2%
Ethnicity (3.5)	3	10		13	2%
Gender (3.6)	2	4		6	1%
Overall Score				68	12%
6b - Data Quality: Universal Data Elements					
Data Element	Error Count		% of Error Rate		
Veteran Status (3.7)	27		6%		
Project Start Date (3.10)	0		0%		
Relationship to Head of Household (3.15)	16		3%		
Client Location (3.16)	0		0%		
Disabling Condition (3.8)	29		5%		
6c - Data Quality: Income and Housing Data Quality					
Data Element	Error Count		% of Error Rate		
Destination (3.12)	8		3%		

Income and Sources (4.2) at Start		61	15%
Income and Sources (4.2) at Annual Assessment		13	100%
Income and Sources (4.2) at Exit		18	9%
6d - Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness			
Entering into project type	Count of total records	Missing time in institution (3.917.2)	Missing time in housing (3.917.2)
		Approximate Date started (3.917.3) DK/R/missing	Number of times (3.917.4) DK/R/missing
		Number of months (3.917.5) DK/R/missing	% of records unable to calculate
ES, SH, Street Outreach	290		57
TH	0	0	0
PH (all)	129	0	2
Total	419		19%
6e - Data Quality: Timeliness			
Time For Record Entry		Number of Project Start Records	Number of Project Exit Records
0 days		76	79
1 - 3 days		71	29
4 - 6 days		16	4
7 - 10 days		17	13
11+ days		245	161
6f - Data Quality: Inactive Records: Street Outreach and Emergency Shelter			
	# of Records	# of Inactive Records	% of Inactive Records
Contact (Adults and Heads of Household in Street Outreach or ES - NBN)	250	197	79%
Bed Night (All clients in ES - NBN)	0	0	0%
7a - Number of Persons Served			
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults
		With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Adults	559	457	102
Children	158		156
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0
Data not collected	0	0	0
Total	717	457	258
For PSH and RRH - the total persons served who moved into housing	48	4	44
7b - Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday			
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults
		With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
January	294	258	36
April	317	284	33
July	111	103	8
October	236	208	28

8a - Number of Households Served					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Total Households	516	436	80	0	0
For PSH and RRH - the total persons served who moved into housing	19	4	15	0	0
8b - Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
January	259	248	11	0	0
April	284	272	12	0	0
July	104	101	3	0	0
October	206	198	8	0	0
9a - Number of Persons Contacted					
	All Persons Contacted	First Contact - NOT staying on the Streets, ES, or SH	First contact - WAS staying on Streets, ES, or SH	First contact - Worker unable to determine	
Once	213	0	137	83	
2-5 Times	63	0	50	0	
6-9 Times	10	0	10	0	
10+ Times	2	0	2	0	
Total Persons Contacted	288	0	199	1	
9b - Number of Persons Engaged					
	All Persons Contacted	First Contact - NOT staying on the Streets, ES, or SH	First contact - WAS staying on Streets, ES, or SH	First contact - Worker unable to determine	
Once	140	0	85	0	
2-5 Times	25	0	22	0	
6-9 Times	3	0	3	0	
10+ Times	0	0	0	0	
Total Persons Engaged	168	0	110	0	
Rate of Engagement	0.58	0.00	0.55	0.00	
10a - Gender of Adults					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	Unknown Household Type	
Male	318	303	15	0	
Female	235	150	85	0	
No Single Gender	0	0	0	0	
Questioning	0	0	0	0	
Transgender	0	0	0	0	
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	2	2	0	0	

Data not collected	4	2	2	0			
Subtotal	559	457	102	0			
10b - Gender of Children							
	Total	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type			
Male	76	74	2	0			
Female	80	80	0	0			
No Single Gender	2	2	0	0			
Questioning	0	0	0	0			
Transgender	0	0	0	0			
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0			
Data not collected	0	0	0	0			
Subtotal	158	156	2	0			
10c - Gender of Persons Missing Age Information							
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type		
Male	0	0	0	0	0		
Female	0	0	0	0	0		
No Single Gender	0	0	0	0	0		
Questioning	0	0	0	0	0		
Transgender	0	0	0	0	0		
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0		
Data not collected	0	0	0	0	0		
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0		
10d - Gender by Age Ranges							
	Total	Under Age 18	Age 18-24	Age 25-61	Age 62 and over	Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	Data not collected
Male	394	76	9	250	59	0	0
Female	315	80	22	188	25	0	0
No Single Gender	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
Questioning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transgender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	2	0	0	0	2	0	0
Data not collected	4	0	0	4	0	0	0
Subtotal	717	158	31	442	86	0	0
11 - Age							
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household		

					Type	
Under 5	52		50	2	0	
5 - 12	69		69	0	0	
13 - 17	37		37	0	0	
18 - 24	31	15	16		0	
25 - 34	149	110	39		0	
35 - 44	110	79	31		0	
45 - 54	103	87	16		0	
55 - 61	80	80	0		0	
62 +	86	86	0		0	
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0	
Data not collected	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	717	457	258	2	0	
12a - Race						
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type	
White	257	252	5	0	0	
Black, African American, or African	418	178	238	2	0	
Asian or Asian American	2	2	0	0	0	
American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	1	1	0	0	0	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	1	0	0	0	
Multiple Races	15	7	8	0	0	
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	13	11	2	0	0	
Data not collected	10	5	5	0	0	
Total	717	457	258	2	0	
12b - Ethnicity						
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type	
Non-Hispanic/Non-Latin(a)(o)(x)	674	427	245	2	0	
Hispanic/Latin(a)(o)(x)	21	13	8	0	0	
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	7	7	0	0	0	
Data not collected	15	10	5	0	0	
Total	717	457	258	2	0	
13a1 - Physical and Mental Health Conditions at Start						
	Total Persons	Without Children	Adults in HH with Children and Adults	Children in HH with Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Mental Health Disorder	244	216	20	8	0	0
Alcohol Use Disorder	23	23	0	0	0	0

Drug Use Disorder	80	80	0	0	0	0
Both Alcohol and Drug Use Disorders	22	22	0	0	0	0
Chronic Health Condition	85	68	13	4	0	0
HIV/AIDS	8	7	1	0	0	0
Development Disability	20	9	1	9	1	0
Physical Disability	102	96	4	2	0	0
13b1 - Physical and Mental Health Conditions of Leavers						
	Total Persons	Without Children	Adults in HH with Children and Adults	Children in HH with Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Mental Health Disorder	89	72	13	4	0	0
Alcohol Use Disorder	7	7	0	0	0	0
Drug Use Disorder	21	21	0	0	0	0
Both Alcohol and Drug Use Disorders	8	8	0	0	0	0
Chronic Health Condition	36	26	9	1	0	0
HIV/AIDS	4	3	1	0	0	0
Development Disability	11	5	1	5	0	0
Physical Disability	42	39	1	2	0	0
13c1 - Physical and Mental Health Conditions of Stayers						
	Total Persons	Without Children	Adults in HH with Children and Adults	Children in HH with Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Mental Health Disorder	159	147	8	4	0	0
Alcohol Use Disorder	16	16	0	0	0	0
Drug Use Disorder	60	60	0	0	0	0
Both Alcohol and Drug Use Disorders	14	14	0	0	0	0
Chronic Health Condition	54	46	5	3	0	0
HIV/AIDS	4	4	0	0	0	0
Development Disability	10	5	0	4	1	0
Physical Disability	65	62	3	0	0	0
14a - Domestic Violence History						
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type	
Yes	68	42	26	0	0	
No	445	372	73	0	0	
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	15	15	0	0	0	
Data not collected	31	28	3	0	0	
Total	559	457	102	0	0	
14b - Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence						

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Yes	14	7	7	0	0
No	24	13	11	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	1	1	0	0	0
Data not collected	29	21	8	0	0
Total	68	42	26	0	0
15 - Living Situation					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Homeless Situations					
Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher	34	24	10	0	0
Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)	3	3	0	0	0
Place not meant for habitation	321	296	25	0	0
Safe Haven	1	0	1	0	0
Host Home (non-crisis)	1	1	0	0	0
Subtotal	360	324	36	0	0
Institutional Settings					
Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	2	2	0	0	0
Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility	3	3	0	0	0
Foster care home or foster care group home	0	0	0	0	0
Long-term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0
Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	1	1	0	0	0
Subtotal	6	6	0	0	0
Other Locations					
Permanent Housing (other than RRH) for formerly homeless persons	3	3	0	0	0
Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, with RRH or equivalent subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, with HCV voucher (tenant or project based)	2	2	0	0	0
Rental by client in a public housing unit	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	82	49	33	0	0
Rental by client, with VASH housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0

Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	2	2	0	0	0
Rental by client, with other housing subsidy (including RRH)	3	3	0	0	0
Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	22	13	9	0	0
Staying or living in a friend's room, apartment or house	34	24	10	0	0
Staying or living in a family member's room, apartment or house	23	12	11	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	10	10	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	12	9	3	0	0
Subtotal	193	127	66	0	0
Total	559	457	102	0	0
16 - Cash Income - Ranges					
			Income at Start	Income at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	Income at Exit for Leavers
No Income			258	0	69
\$1 - 150			1	0	0
\$151 - \$250			4	0	4
\$251 - \$500			12	0	5
\$501 - \$1000			63	0	22
\$1001 - \$1500			41	0	26
\$1501 - \$2000			29	0	13
\$2001 +			77	0	52
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused			8	0	1
Data Not Collected			66	0	19
Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment				335	
Number of adult stayers without required annual assessment				13	
Total Adults			559	348	211
17 - Cash Income - Sources					
			Income at Start	Income at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	Income at Exit for Leavers
Earned Income			102	0	68
Unemployment Insurance			2	0	1
Supplemental Security Income (SSI)			70	0	26
Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI)			56	0	25
VA Service - Connected Disability Compensation			0	0	0
VA Non-Service Connected Disability Pension			1	0	0

Private Disability Insurance	1	0	0									
Worker's Compensation	0	0	0									
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	47	0	29									
General Assistance (GA)	17	0	8									
Retirement Income from Social Security	10	0	7									
Pension or retirement income from a former job	6	0	5									
Child Support	13	0	9									
Alimony and other spousal support	0	0	0									
Other Source	2	0	1									
Adults with Income Information at Start and Annual Assessment/Exit		0	0									
19b - Disabling Conditions and Income for Adults at Exit												
	AO: Adult with Disabling Condition	AO: Adult without Disabling Condition	AO: Total Adults	AO: percent with Disabling Condition by Source	AC: Adult with Disabling Condition	AC: Adult without Disabling Condition	AC: Total Adults	AC: percent with Disabling Condition by Source	UK: Adult with Disabling Condition	UK: Adult without Disabling Condition	UK: Total Adults	UK: percent with Disabling Condition by Source
Earned Income	4	21	25	16%	12	33	45	27%	0	0	0	0%
Supplemental Security Income (SSI)	20	3	23	87%	2	3	5	40%	0	0	0	0%
Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI)	19	2	21	90%	0	1	1	0%	0	0	0	0%
VA Service - Connected Disability Compensation	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%
Private Disability Insurance	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%
Worker's Compensation	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	14	3	17	82%	5	6	11	45%	0	0	0	0%
Retirement Income from Social Security	4	4	8	50%	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%
Pension or retirement income from a former job	4	2	6	67%	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%
Child Support	0	0	0	0%	2	6	8	25%	0	0	0	0%
Other Source	5	1	6	83%	3	2	5	60%	0	0	0	0%
No Sources	35	21	56	63%	2	10	12	17%	0	0	0	0%
Unduplicated Total Adults	83	50	133		16	45	61		0	0	0	
20a - Type of Non-Cash Benefit Source												
									Benefit at Start	Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	Benefit at Exit for Leavers	
Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) (Previously known as Food Stamps)									264	0	99	
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC)									11	0	12	

TANF Child Care Services	2	0	1
TANF Transportation Services	1	0	1
Other TANF-Funded Services	4	0	4
Other Source	3	0	1
21 - Health Insurance			
	At Start	At Annual Assessment for Stayers	At Exit for Leavers
MEDICAID	450	0	200
MEDICARE	66	0	28
State Children's Health Insurance Program	13	0	5
Veteran's Administration (VA) Medical Services	6	0	6
Employer-Provided Health Insurance	28	0	25
Health Insurance obtained through COBRA	1	0	2
Private Pay Health Insurance	5	0	4
State Health Insurance for Adults	9	0	5
Indian Health Services Program	1	0	2
Other	3	0	5
No Health Insurance	120	0	52
Client doesn't know/Client refused	19	0	4
Data not collected	38	34	6
Number of stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment		376	
1 Source of Health Insurance	500	0	230
More than 1 Source of Health Insurance	37	0	18
22a2 - Length of Participation - ESG Projects			
	Total	Leavers	Stayers
0-7 days	20	17	3
8 to 14 days	16	6	10
15 to 21 days	13	8	5
22 to 30 days	19	4	15
31 to 60 days	95	59	36
61 to 90 days	80	59	21
91 to 180 days	163	98	65
181 to 365 days	264	43	221
366 to 730 Days (1-2 Yrs)	47	13	34
731 to 1,095 Days (2-3 Yrs)	0	0	0
1,096 to 1,460 Days (3-4 Yrs)	0	0	0

1,461 to 1,825 Days (4-5 Yrs)		0	0	0	
More than 1,825 Days (>5 Yrs)		0	0	0	
Data not collected		0	0	0	
Total		717	307	410	
22c - Length of Time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
7 days or less	8	0	8	0	0
8 to 14 days	2	0	2	0	0
15 to 21 days	0	0	0	0	0
22 to 30 days	0	0	0	0	0
31 to 60 days	9	2	7	0	0
61 to 180 days	13	0	13	0	0
181 to 365 days	3	0	3	0	0
366 to 730 Days (1-2 Yrs)	4	0	4	0	0
Total (persons moved into housing)	39	2	37	0	0
Average length of time to housing	120	44	125	0	0
Persons who were exited without move-in	163	29	134	0	0
Total	202	31	171	0	0
22d - Length of Participation by Household Type					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
7 days or less	20	15	5	0	0
8 to 14 days	16	10	6	0	0
15 to 21 days	13	13	0	0	0
22 to 30 days	19	11	8	0	0
31 to 60 days	95	47	48	0	0
61 to 90 days	80	39	41	0	0
91 to 180 days	163	107	54	2	0
181 to 365 days	264	206	58	0	0
366 to 730 Days (1-2 Yrs)	47	9	38	0	0
731 to 1,095 Days (2-3 Yrs)	0	0	0	0	0
1,096 to 1,460 Days (3-4 Yrs)	0	0	0	0	0
1,461 to 1,825 Days (4-5 Yrs)	0	0	0	0	0
More than 1,825 Days (>5 Yrs)	0	0	0	0	0

Data not collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	717	457	258	2	0
22e - Length of Time Prior to Housing - based on 3.917 Date Homelessness Started					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
7 days or less	3	3	0	0	0
8 to 14 days	3	2	1	0	0
15 to 21 days	0	0	0	0	0
22 to 30 days	2	2	0	0	0
31 to 60 days	6	4	2	0	0
61 to 180 days	14	5	9	0	0
181 to 365 days	3	0	3	0	0
366 to 730 Days (1-2 Yrs)	17	9	8	0	0
731 days or more	14	14	0	0	0
Total (persons moved into housing)	62	39	23	0	0
Not yet moved into housing	229	31	196	2	0
Data Not Collected	28	4	24	0	0
Total Persons	319	74	243	2	0
23c - Exit Destination - All persons					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Permanent Destinations					
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy	6	0	6	0	0
Owned by client, with ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy	133	29	104	0	0
Rental by client, with VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client with GPD TIP subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy	16	1	15	0	0
Permanent Housing (other than RRH) for formerly homeless persons	2	2	0	0	0
Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	10	0	10	0	0
Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, with RRH or equivalent subsidy	3	1	2	0	0
Rental by client, with HCV voucher (tenant or project based)	6	6	0	0	0
Rental by client in a public housing unit	1	1	0	0	0
Subtotal	177	40	137	0	0
Temporary Destinations					

Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher	16	6	10	0	0
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)	0	0	0	0	0
Staying or living with family, temporary tenure (e.g., room, apartment or house)	7	5	2	0	0
Staying or living with friends, temporary tenure (e.g., room apartment or house)	12	5	7	0	0
Place not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport or anywhere outside)	78	78	0	0	0
Safe Haven	1	1	0	0	0
Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	0	0	0	0	0
Host Home (non-crisis)	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	114	95	19	0	0
Institutional Settings					
Foster care home or foster care group home	0	0	0	0	0
Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	2	2	0	0	0
Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	1	1	0	0	0
Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility	2	2	0	0	0
Long-term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	5	5	0	0	0
Other Destinations					
Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
Deceased	2	2	0	0	0
Other	1	1	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected (no exit interview completed)	8	3	5	0	0
Subtotal	11	6	5	0	0
Total	307	146	161	0	0
Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations	179	42	137	0	0
Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation	3	3	0	0	0
Percentage	59%	29%	85%	0%	0%
24 - Homeless Prevention Housing Assessment at Exit					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Able to maintain the housing they had at project start--Without a subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Able to maintain the housing they had at project start--With the subsidy they had at project entry	0	0	0	0	0

Able to maintain the housing they had at project start--With an on-going subsidy acquired since project entry	0	0	0	0	0
Able to maintain the housing they had at project start--Only with financial assistance other than a subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Moved to new housing unit--With on-going subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Moved to new housing unit--Without an on-going subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Moved in with family/friends on a temporary basis	0	0	0	0	0
Moved in with family/friends on a permanent basis	0	0	0	0	0
Moved to a transitional or temporary housing facility or program	0	0	0	0	0
Client became homeless - moving to a shelter or other place unfit for human habitation	0	0	0	0	0
Client went to jail/prison	0	0	0	0	0
Client died	0	0	0	0	0
Client doesn't know/Client refused	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected (no exit interview completed)	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
25a - Number of Veterans					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	Unknown Household Type	
Chronically Homeless Veteran	6	6	0	0	
Non-Chronically Homeless Veteran	17	15	2	0	
Not a veteran	499	401	98	0	
Client doesn't know/Client refused	11	11	0	0	
Data not collected	26	24	2	0	
Total	559	457	102	0	
25b - Number of Chronically Homeless Persons by Household					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Chronically Homeless	173	169	4	0	0
Not Chronically Homeless	428	177	249	2	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	21	21	0	0	0
Data not collected	95	90	5	0	0
Total	717	457	258	2	0