

IN RE: PETITION FOR VARIANCE
(3 Oak Hollow Court)
3rd Election District
3rd Council District
Anna Oster,
Legal Owner

Petitioner

* BEFORE THE
* OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE
* HEARINGS FOR
* BALTIMORE COUNTY
*
* **CASE NO. 2020-0043-A**

* * * * *

ORDER ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (“OAH”) as a Petition for Variance filed by Anna Oster for property located at 3 Oak Hollow Court. The Petitioner originally filed a Variance pursuant to § 205.3 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (“BCZR”) to permit an addition with a side yard setback of 4 ft. 6 in. and to permit an addition with a side yard setback of 13 ft. 8 in. in lieu of the required 15 ft. respectively. In open hearing, the Petitioner dropped the request for the 13 ft. 8 in. setback and only pursued the 4 ft. 6 in. setback variance.

By Opinion and Order dated August 21, 2020, the undersigned granted the Petition for Variance.

On September 21, 2020, Irina Bondar, a protestant, filed via e-mail a timely Motion for Reconsideration of the August 21, 2020 Opinion and Order.

In addition, Architect Adam Carballo, who represented Petitioner Anna Oster, filed a Response to Protestant’s Motion for Reconsideration on September 25, 2020.

I have reviewed both the Motion of Reconsideration of the Protestant as well as the Response to the Motion for Reconsideration filed on behalf of the Petitioner. I find the Response to the Motion for Reconsideration to be appropriate and convincing. I see nothing in the Motion for Reconsideration to justify my reversal or modification of my Opinion and Order of

August 21, 2020.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this 14th day of **October, 2020** by the Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Motion for Reconsideration, be and is hereby DENIED.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

____Signed_____
LAWRENCE M. STAHL
Administrative Law Judge for
Baltimore County

LMS:dlw