

IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE	*	BEFORE THE
(12415 Wolbert Way)		
11 th Election District	*	OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE
5 th Council District		
Norman E. Boone, III &	*	HEARINGS FOR
Danette Mary Boone		
Petitioners	*	BALTIMORE COUNTY
	*	CASE NO. 2021-0011-A

* * * * *

OPINION AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (“OAH”) for Baltimore County for consideration of a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owners of the subject property, Norman E. Boone, III and Danette Mary Boone (“Petitioners”). The Petitioners are requesting Variance relief pursuant to the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (“BCZR”) § 400.3 to permit a proposed accessory structure (detached pole barn) with a height of 23 ft. in lieu of the maximum allowed height of 15 ft. The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioners’ Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (“ZAC”) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. There were no adverse ZAC comments contained in the case file.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on January 24, 2021, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioners have filed the supporting affidavits as required by Section 32-3-303 of the Baltimore County Code (“BCC”). Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general

welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, the information, photographs, and affidavits submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of Section 307.1 of the BCZR. Furthermore, strict compliance with the BCZR would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

Although the Department of Planning did not make any recommendations related to the proposed accessory structure (detached pole barn) height and usage, I will impose conditions that the detached pole barn shall not be converted into a dwelling unit or apartment, not contain any sleeping quarters, living area, kitchen or bathroom facilities, or used for commercial purposes.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the BCC and the BCZR, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this 9th day of **February, 2021**, by the Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance seeking relief from the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (“BCZR”) § 400.3 to permit a proposed accessory structure (detached pole barn) with a height of 23 ft. in lieu of the maximum allowed height of 15 ft., be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

- Petitioners may apply for their appropriate permits and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.
- Petitioners or subsequent owners shall not convert the detached pole barn into a dwelling unit or apartment. The proposed detached pole barn shall not contain any sleeping quarters, living area, and kitchen or bathroom facilities.

- The proposed detached pole barn shall not be used for commercial purposes.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

Signed
PAUL M. MAYHEW
Administrative Law Judge for
Baltimore County

PMM:dlw